



332
11/18

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT BUDGET ANALYST REPORT

Date Issued: November 14, 2008

IBA Report Number: 08-117

City Council Meeting: November 18, 2008

Item Number: 332

Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) Plan Proposal

OVERVIEW

On November 18, 2008 the San Diego Housing Commission (SDHC) will request action from the City Council to accept \$9,442,370 in funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the purpose of acquiring, rehabilitating, and the re-sale of foreclosed or vacated/abandoned residential properties within designated areas, defined as being of "greatest need" in the City of San Diego. Furthermore, the City must adopt the NSP Action Plan and resolution in order to meet a December 1, 2008 deadline for submittal to HUD.

Title III of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA), passed on July 30, 2008, appropriates \$3.92 billion of grant funds under the Neighborhood Stabilization Program for states and local governments to purchase and redevelop abandoned or foreclosed properties. Funding has been targeted to areas with the greatest needs based on the extent of foreclosures, subprime mortgages, and mortgage delinquencies and defaults.

All 50 states and more than 250 local municipalities received grants, with each state receiving at least \$19.6 million. Over \$529 million is anticipated to be received by 47 grantees within the State of California. Attachment A details the amount by municipality for the State.

Pending approval of the City's application following submittal by the December 1, 2008 deadline, funds are tentatively expected to be available by February 2009, must be obligated by June 30, 2010 and expended by December 31, 2012.

On October 29, 2008 the Land Use and Housing Committee, under the direction of Councilmember Hueso, moved to forward the application to the City Council for consideration.

Office of Independent Budget Analyst

202 C Street, MS 3A • San Diego, CA 92101
Tel (619) 236-6555 Fax (619) 236-6556



The purpose of this report is to provide an overview of the Neighborhood Stabilization Program allocation and eligibility requirements and to provide to the Council a summary of the SDHC application and impacts on the City of San Diego.

FISCAL/POLICY DISCUSSION

Allocation Requirements

The HERA legislation stipulates that allocations are to be made to areas not exceeding 120% Area Median Income (AMI) (\$86,500 for a family of four) and no less than 25% be allocated to areas of up to 50% AMI (\$39,500 for a family of four) based on a funding formula established by HUD on the following criteria:

- number and percentage of home foreclosures in each State or unit of general government
- the number and percentage of homes financed by a subprime mortgage related loan in each State unit of general local government; and
- the number and percentage of homes in default or delinquency in each State or general local government

Additionally, priority must be given to areas

- with the greatest percentage of home foreclosures
- with the highest percentage of homes financed by a subprime mortgage related loan; and
- identified by the State or unit of general local government as likely to face significant rise in the rate of home foreclosures

In its report to Council, SDHC has determined that in San Diego eligible areas range from Mira Mesa in the north to San Ysidro in the south, with the greatest concentration of predicted foreclosures rate in the next 18 months in the communities of Golden Hill, North Park, City Heights, Barrio Logan and Encanto, within City Council Districts 3, 4, 7, and 8 (Districts 3 and 7 share the neighborhood of City Heights.)

Eligible Uses

HUD has identified the following five eligible activities:

- a. Establish financing mechanisms for purchase and redevelopment of foreclosed upon homes and residential properties, including such mechanisms as soft-seconds, loan loss reserves, and shared-equity loans for low- and moderate-income homebuyers;
- b. Purchase and rehabilitate homes and residential properties that have been abandoned or foreclosed upon, in order to sell, rent, or redevelop such homes and properties;
- c. Establish land banks for homes that have been foreclosed upon;
- d. Demolish blighted structures; and
- e. Redevelop demolished or vacant properties

In its report to Council, SDHC has indicated that they will primarily focus their efforts on two of these above activities:

- A financing mechanism to ensure affordability (silent second and rehabilitation loan package) primarily for families buying units that have been rehabilitated through the NSP program, and
- Acquisition/rehabilitation and rental. As required under the legislation, 25% of the funds will be used to serve residents earning up to 50% AMI

| Eligible Activity | Amount |
|--|--------------------|
| Financing Mechanism for First Time Home Buyers (up to 120% AMI) | \$6,123,600 |
| Acquisition/Rehabilitation & Rental for Low Income Tenants (up to 50% AMI) | \$2,124,533 |
| Redeveloping Demolished or Vacant Properties | \$200,000 |
| Homebuyer Education Counseling | \$50,000 |
| NSP Program Administration | \$944,237 |
| TOTAL | \$9,442,370 |

These two activities will allow for the purchase of additional homes and creation of new affordable housing units in our community. SDHC staff has indicated that the focus has been primarily on these two activities because existing programs are already in place to support these activities. The financing mechanism will help support the SDHC First Time Home Buyer's program, which currently has limited funds and cannot meet the current demand for assistance. SDHC staff has noted that given the relatively significant time constraints in obligating and expending these funds, the plan of focusing on existing programs enables the most efficient and effective use of funds.

As illustrated in the chart above, in addition to the two primary activities; \$200,000 will be utilized for developing demolished or vacant properties; \$50,000 will be allocated for homebuyer education counseling and approximately \$900,000 for NSP program administration. Additionally, SDHC will match the anticipated grant of \$50,000 designated for counseling with an additional \$50,000 of SDHC funds.

The inclusion of \$200,000 in the activity of redeveloping demolished or vacant properties is in response to discussion at the October 29, 2008 LU&H Committee meeting, at which time interest to research and examine such options was expressed. SDHC staff has indicated that pending future developments, such as an increase in vacant or demolished properties, SDHC will have the ability to shift allocation between those activities proposed in its application.

CONCLUSION


The IBA supports Council authorizing San Diego Housing Commission as a sub-recipient of the Neighborhood Stabilization Program and the adoption of the NSP Plan and resolution.



Dominika Bukalova
Research Analyst



APPROVED: Andrea Tevlin
Independent Budget Analyst



Lisa Celaya
Fiscal & Policy Analyst

Attachment A - California State, County and Local NSP Allocation chart

| CA State/Local Allocation | Amount |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| CALIFORNIA STATE PROGRAM | \$145,071,506 |
| RIVERSIDE COUNTY | \$48,567,786 |
| LOS ANGELES | \$32,860,870 |
| SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY | \$22,758,188 |
| SACRAMENTO COUNTY | \$18,605,460 |
| LOS ANGELES COUNTY | \$16,847,672 |
| SACRAMENTO | \$13,264,829 |
| STOCKTON | \$12,146,038 |
| MORENO VALLEY | \$11,390,116 |
| KERN COUNTY | \$11,211,385 |
| FRESNO | \$10,969,169 |
| STANISLAUS COUNTY | \$9,744,482 |
| SAN DIEGO COUNTY | \$9,442,370 |
| SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY | \$9,030,385 |
| BAKERSFIELD | \$8,982,836 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | \$8,408,558 |
| OAKLAND | \$8,250,668 |
| MODESTO | \$8,109,274 |
| PALMDALE | \$7,434,301 |
| FRESNO COUNTY | \$7,037,465 |
| LANCASTER | \$6,983,533 |
| RIVERSIDE | \$6,581,916 |
| CONTRA COSTA COUNTY | \$6,019,051 |
| FONTANA | \$5,953,309 |
| SANTA ANA | \$5,795,151 |
| SAN JOSE | \$5,628,283 |
| RIALTO | \$5,461,574 |
| VICTORVILLE | \$5,311,363 |
| SAN DIEGO COUNTY | \$5,144,152 |
| LONG BEACH | \$5,070,310 |
| HESPERIA | \$4,590,719 |
| ANTIOCH | \$4,049,228 |
| CORONA | \$3,602,842 |
| POMONA | \$3,530,825 |
| RICHMOND | \$3,346,105 |
| ORANGE COUNTY | \$3,285,926 |
| COMPTON | \$3,242,817 |
| APPLE VALLEY | \$3,064,836 |
| HEMET | \$2,888,473 |
| CHULA VISTA | \$2,830,072 |
| ONTARIO | \$2,738,309 |
| VALLEJO | \$2,657,861 |
| ANAHEIM | \$2,653,455 |
| ELK GROVE | \$2,389,651 |
| VISALIA | \$2,388,331 |
| RANCHO CUCAMONGA | \$2,133,397 |
| ALAMEDA COUNTY | \$2,126,927 |
| TOTAL | \$529,601,773 |

**CITY OF SAN DIEGO****MEMORANDUM**

DATE: November 5, 2008

TO: Council President Peters & City Council

FROM: Beryl Bailey Rayford, Equal Opportunity Contracting Program
Manager

SUBJECT: Neighborhood Stabilization Program – Plan Adoption for Submittal to
HUD

The City's Equal Opportunity Contracting and Non-discrimination in Contracting Ordinance does not apply to this action per San Diego Municipal Code section 22.2703(b)(excepting contracts with other public agencies from the requirements of Division 27).

CC: Fischle-Faulk, Debra
Kessler, Scott

001981

THE NSP SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENT

| | |
|---|---|
| Jurisdiction(s): City of San Diego | NSP Contact Person: Angela Nazareno |
| Jurisdiction Web Address: www.sandiego.gov | Address: 1200 Third Avenue, 14th Floor San Diego, CA 92101 |
| | Telephone: 619-236-6944 |
| | Fax: 619-533-3219 |
| | Email: ANazareno@sandiego.gov |

A. AREAS OF GREATEST NEED

Provide summary needs data identifying the geographic areas of greatest need in the grantee's jurisdiction.

Response:

The City of San Diego is the largest city in the County of San Diego metropolitan statistical area (MSA, also known as "San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos"). The City of San Diego is a coastal city in Southern California located in the southwestern corner of the continental United States. The city has a population of approximately 1,255,240 people. It is the second largest city in California and the eighth largest in the United States. Since the foreclosure crisis became newsworthy in summer of 2007, this MSA at one point was the eleventh most impacted foreclosure area in the country. Within San Diego County, there were 1,979 foreclosures in August of 2008, a 1 percent decline from July 2008, but a rise of nearly 140 percent over the previous year.

California is a non-judicial foreclosure state. The foreclosure process begins with a recorded Notice of Default (NOD), which is a public notification that the borrower has fallen behind in the monthly mortgage payments. If the default is not cured, or the loan renegotiated or replaced, the NOD is followed by a Notice of Trustee's Sale (NOTS) after a minimum of three months. The actual Trustee's Sale can not occur less than 20 days after public notice. The Trustee's Sale is a public auction, at which anyone may place a bid, including the lender and any junior lien holders. The foreclosure process may be stopped if the default is cured 5 days in advance of the sale date. If no one bids, and the foreclosure process was not stopped, title (ownership) of the property reverts to the lender as Real Estate Owned (REO) property. The typical foreclosure process takes at least 117 days in California.

There were a total of 6,111 properties taken all the way through the foreclosure process in the MSA from July 1, 2007 through September 30, 2008 in the City. In relation to all housing units (as estimated by the San Diego Association of Governments, 2008), this represents 1.21% for the City of San Diego. This also corresponds to 1 in 83 homes becoming bank-owned during this period.

Foreclosures have occurred throughout the City of San Diego, but are concentrated in certain areas. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has compiled a set of data that include the following:

- Area average housing sales price decline (since the peak of the market);
- High cost loan rate (mortgages with interest-only payment options, stated income mortgages, mortgages with high loan to ratios, etc.);
- The predicted 18 month underlying foreclosure rate; and
- Housing units that have been vacant for at least 90 days.

The data is made available at the Census Tract Block Group (CTBG) level for the entire country. The City of San Diego began its needs assessment in response to the housing crisis (high number of foreclosed, vacant properties beginning to have adverse effects on neighborhoods) by identifying the CTBG in the City with income levels at or below 120% Area Median Income (AMI). For purposes of this Substantial Amendment, the low, moderate and middle-income areas will be noted as "LMMI" (at least 51% of the residents in LMMI areas have incomes at or below 120% AMI). This data was provided by HUD and can be found on their website:

http://www.huduser.org/publications/commdevl/nsp_target.html.

The data for San Diego was evaluated and a priority ranking system for those CTBGs most at risk of becoming blighted due to large numbers of vacant, foreclosed units was developed. A visual representation of these impacts is shown as Exhibits A1-A9; Exhibit A10 plots houses that have become REOs during the period July 2007 – September 2008.

The HUD data, in addition to a break-down of income levels by CTBG, also provides the following information:

- Estimated foreclosure/abandonment risk score (1 to 10 with 10 being the highest risk) – San Diego's data ranged from 1 to 10;
- Rate of high cost loans (2004 – 2006) – San Diego's data ranged from 0.0% to 100.0%;
- Predicted 18 month underlying problem foreclosure rate – San Diego's data ranged from 0.0% to 18.6%;
- Rate of housing price decline since the peak in the housing market (as of June 2008) – San Diego's rate is -18.2%;
- Unemployment rate (as of June 2008) – San Diego's rate is 5.9%; and
- Residential vacancy rate (as of June 2008) – San Diego's rate ranged from 0.0% to 11.1%.

Of the 879 San Diego CTBGs provided by HUD, only 550 of them met the eligibility under Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA) as areas which would satisfy the requirement that NSP funds be used to serve persons earning less than 120% AMI. The eligibility of areas for the City of San Diego, utilizing Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) funding restrictions, is shown in Exhibit A1.

As can be seen on the map, the eligible areas range from Mira Mesa in the north to San Ysidro in the south along the border. When overlaid against Exhibits A4, A5, A6 and A7 it becomes clearer that the greatest concentration of eligible areas as well as areas facing

a predicted 18-month underlying problem in the foreclosure rate (this rate does not provide the actual level of foreclosures in an area, but rather predicts what the foreclosure risk might be going forward) and areas running a high probability of foreclosure risk are concentrated south of El Cajon Blvd. and east of State Route 163. This correlates to heavy concentrations in City Council Districts 3, 4, 7 and 8, therefore the NSP funding will be focused in these areas.

Correlating the data supplied by HUD, if we overlay zip codes to focus the NSP funding even further in specific Community Planning Areas, we come up with the following zip codes: 92102, 92104, 92105, 92113, 92114 and 92154. These represent the communities of: Golden Hill, North Park, City Heights, Barrio Logan, and the Encanto neighborhoods respectively.

B. DISTRIBUTION AND USES OF FUNDS

Provide a narrative describing how the distribution and uses of the grantee's NSP funds will meet the requirements of Section 2301(c)(2) of HERA that funds be distributed to the areas of greatest need, including those with the greatest percentage of home foreclosures, with the highest percentage of homes financed by a subprime mortgage related loan, and identified by the grantee as likely to face a significant rise in the rate of home foreclosures. *Note: The grantee's narrative must address these three stipulated need categories in the NSP statute, but the grantee may also consider other need categories.*

Response:

The City of San Diego will prioritize the use of the NSP funds by first targeting the acquisition of foreclosed units in neighborhoods with the highest concentration of subprime mortgage loans and at the highest risk of additional foreclosures, as evidenced through HUD-provided data and the City's data on foreclosures that have occurred from July 2007 through September 2008. In reviewing the requirements of HERA, the area south of El Cajon Blvd. and east of State Route 163 were found to meet all of the prerequisite criteria of the greatest percentage of home foreclosures (predicted 18 month underlying problem foreclosure rate).

In viewing this data mapped over the LMMI areas, it is clear that the LMMI areas appear to be the areas in the City that have the greatest percentage of all of the factors combines and should be the areas where NSP funds should be prioritized. It is City staff's recommendation, based upon all of the data analyzed, that the NSP funds be focused in the areas of greatest need as listed in the previous section.

The following was used to determine the NSP priority areas:

- Eligible LMMI areas (63% of the City);
- Areas with the foreclosure risk at 7 or above;
- Areas that will likely experience a high number of foreclosures over the next 18 months;

- Areas which have a high foreclosure risk score as established by HUD;
- Areas with high cost loan rate above 25%;
- Assist individuals and households with less financial resources than in other areas of the City; and
- Number of vacant properties in the area that have been foreclosed upon.

NSP priority areas identified above meets the requirements of HERA Section 2301(c) (2) in the following manner:

- An analysis of the locations of REOs, NODs and Trustee Sales show a higher propensity of activity in the central to central/east and southeastern portions of the City;
- The priority areas have the highest percentages of high cost loans;
- The priority areas also have the highest risk for foreclosure;
- The priority areas are also likely to face a significant rise in the number of foreclosures over the next 18 months.

The funds will be used in two primary activities, plus administration:

- 1) As a financing mechanism to ensure affordability (silent second and rehabilitation loan package) primarily for families buying units that have been rehabilitated through this program pursuant to Section 2301(c)(3)(A); and
- 2) Acquisition/rehabilitation and rental. As required, 25% of the funds will be used to serve residents earning at or below 50% AMI – the City of San Diego intends to utilize these funds for acquisition/rehabilitation of single family units pursuant to Section 2301(f)(3)(A)(ii);
- 3) Homebuyer education counseling under eligible uses as defined in Sections 2301(c)(3)(B) and (E) and pursuant to 24 C.F.R. 570.201(e); and
- 5) Administration of the program will not exceed 10% of the NSP grant and 10% of program income.

Financing Mechanism (Section 2301(c)(3)(A))

The City of San Diego would grant to the San Diego Housing Commission (SDHC) as a sub-recipient the entire \$9,442,370 to administer. 75% of that funding (\$6,831,778 less Activities 2 and 4) would be used by SDHC to finance the purchase of foreclosed homes. SDHC plans on negotiating with lenders to make REO portfolios of single family residences and condominiums in Council Districts 3, 4, 7 and 8 available to First Time Home Buyers (FTHB) at base prices at least 5% below appraised value. The final sales price to the buyer would be comprised of the bank's base price plus rehabilitation costs (including 1-2 months temporary rent if the REO is not available during rehabilitation phase).

SDHC would seek out conventional lenders participating in the SDHC's FTHB programs. The lender would be expected to provide fixed rate 30-year amortized 1st Trust Deed for 70% of the buyer's final sales price. SDHC would provide a 30-year deferred 2nd Trust Deed at 0% interest for between 17% and 27% of the buyer's final sales price, plus a closing costs grant for 3% of final sales price. The purchaser of the

home would be expected to provide a 3% down payment and any excess closing costs beyond the SDHC grant.

Eligible homebuyers must be FTHBs (not having owned a residence within the past three years). Household gross income cannot exceed 120% AMI (\$86,500 for a family of four). The buyer must be pre-approved, with verifiable household income, in good credit standing, and provide 3% of final sales price for their down payment. The buyer would also be expected to pay any excess closing costs over the SDHC 3% closing costs grant. Maximum housing expense and other credit debts would not be able to exceed 42% total debt ratio of gross household income.

SDHC would offer local banks assistance to sell their REOs through our FTHB programs if they offer single family residences and condos at prices 5% below appraised values. Banks with REOs, FTHB program lenders, real estate agents, home buyer counselors, and the SDHC would all advertise the availability of eligible REOs with assistance from the SDHC modified FTHB program.

Appraised values would need to be determined for eligible REO properties. Buyers who have been pre-approved would need to make the offer to purchase the eligible REO from the bank subject to a mutual agreement on an adjusted actual rehab cost to be determined later. For pre-1979 residences, SDHC will obtain bank authorization for lead testing and schedule a lead test within one week and arrange access to the home from the bank.

The bank would complete the underwriting for their 70% 1st Trust Deed and forward the loan package to the SDHC Loan Management staff for underwriting the SDHC 2nd Trust Deed and closing cost grant. When both loans are approved, the buyer would select a contractor from the submitted bids. The buyer would sign the bank and SDHC loan documents and construction contract. Escrow would receive the bank's 1st Trust Deed amount, the buyer's 3% down payment, the SDHC 3% closing cost grant and a portion of the SDHC 2nd Trust Deed to complete the purchase escrow, pay the bank the negotiated purchase price and close within 30 to 45 days.

Depending on the price discounts that can be achieved in the REO negotiation phase the number of homeowners that could be assisted with the \$6.4 million (total grant less the 25% low income set aside and 10% administration) that can assist up to 120% AMI:

- Home priced at \$200,000 (plus \$30,000 rehab) would assist 92 buyers
- Home priced at \$250,000 (plus \$30,000 rehab) would assist 75 buyers

Acquisition/Rehabilitation & Rental for Low Income Tenants (Section 2301(f)(3)(A)(ii))

There is a low income set aside provision in HERA where at least 25% of the NSP funds must be utilized for the benefit of families at or below 50% AMI. The City would once again grant the 25% to SDHC for administration as a sub-recipient of the funds for this program. SDHC has developed a program which would be modeled on SDHC's Public Housing Disposition plan.

Pursuant to HUD regulations, a tenant earning less than 50% AMI can only spend up to 30% of their income on housing. This restriction means that the income generated off of the rents for families at 50% AMI does not provide enough capital to maintain and operate the units at an acceptable level. Therefore, utilizing the Section 8 voucher program, the family would still pay only 30% of their income in rent, however the voucher would allow SDHC to realize higher rents through the subsidy from HUD. This additional income from the voucher would allow SDHC to maintain, operate and even expand the program.

To do this, SDHC and or a community-based, non-profit partner would purchase vacant foreclosed properties in areas of the city most impacted by the foreclosure crisis (Council Districts 3, 4, 7 and 8). These properties will then be rehabilitated and rented to families earning 50% AMI or less at initial occupancy. SDHC or a community-based, non-profit partner would retain these units within its portfolio and will be responsible for all property management functions.

With a rehabilitated cost of \$300,000 per unit being utilized, this assumes all homes will contain three bedrooms and a high standard for rehabilitation including lead based paint remediation as required.

In order to proceed expeditiously in response to the current crisis, it is recommended that SDHC pay cash for all costs associated with the acquisition and rehabilitation of the units and lease-up to eligible families, then leverage the properties utilizing conventional financing to the greatest extent feasible and repeat the acquisition/lease-up process.

Eligible households will receive a Project Based Housing Choice Voucher to be used first to assist the family with a rental subsidy and eventually as a Section 8 Homeownership opportunity. It is expected that through the use of Project Based Section 8 vouchers the increased revenue stream will allow for the purchase and rehabilitation of a greater number of foreclosed homes. It is estimated that approximately 30 self-sustaining rental homes could be created.

Redevelop Demolished or Vacant Properties (Section 2301(c)(3)(E))

In certain instances, the condition of specific units may warrant demolition instead of rehabilitation. A primary basis would be the proposed cost of rehabilitation. In such cases where the rehabilitation costs exceed the acquisition costs, staff would consult with the City's Development Services Department of Inspections regarding the structural soundness of the house. If deemed appropriate, demolition would occur. Plans to develop the parcel as an infill unit or units would commence as soon as practicable and may necessitate the partnership with local non-profit developers. Several redevelopment options would be considered. One option would be to sell the parcel to a non-profit developer for new construction; another option would be to make it available to a non-profit developer for special needs housing. Other possible uses under this activity type might include vacant, foreclosed properties that allow for the expansion or improvement of a public facility.

Homebuyer Education Counseling (24 CFR 570.201(e))

As part of the NSP requirements, each eligible family seeking to purchase a foreclosed home with the assistance of NSP funding must first complete a HUD approved eight hour financial literacy/homebuyer education program. There are several agencies in San Diego which provide this service as part of their business model.

Due to the time constraints placed on the obligation of and expenditure of the NSP funding, the City will establish partnerships with these types of institutions in order to comply with NSP regulations and to also create a steady stream of eligible homebuyers for the REO properties. Assisting these agencies with funding is recommended however it will be incumbent on the SDHC and City's CDBG staff to monitor closely the types of counseling these agencies provide. Because these agencies provide both foreclosure prevention counseling and homebuyer education courses, use of the NSP funds would "taint" their operations and prohibit them from providing foreclosure prevention counseling utilizing the NSP funds. Strict oversight of this will be paramount and the agencies providing the counseling/education services will be required to offer only homebuyer preparation courses at certain venues to ensure NSP monies are being spent only on eligible uses.

Additionally, the success of this program will also rely on a steady stream of eligible REO properties on which the seller is willing to give a minimum 5% discount from the appraised value to the homebuyer. To do that the City will need to tap into the REO portfolios of banks and even land banks in the region.

The synergistic relationship between the home buying counseling agencies, the SDHC loan products and the availability of REO properties should create a model of success for the program such that the funds will be obligated in 18 months and fully expended in the four year timeframe as established by HUD.

C. DEFINITIONS AND DESCRIPTIONS**(1) Definition of "blighted structure" in context of state or local law.****Response:**

The City of San Diego uses the State of California's definition of blight as found at California Health and Safety Code Sections 33030 and 33031 which are attached as Exhibit B.

(2) Definition of "affordable rents." *Note:* Grantees may use the definition they have adopted for their CDBG program but should review their existing definition to ensure compliance with NSP program –specific requirements such as continued affordability.

Response:

For any NSP-funded rental activity, "affordable rents" shall be defined as 30% of the household's adjusted income, less utility allowances as adopted by the Housing Authority of the City of San Diego for the Section 8 program, as appropriate. HUD's Fair Market Rent schedule for the San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos MSA will be used (Exhibit D). Should any gap be present, funds for this gap will be required from other sources of funding.

(3) Describe how the grantee will ensure continued affordability for NSP assisted housing.**Response:**

Continued affordability will be ensured for the entire period of affordability through monitoring, following the specific HOME monitoring requirements as defined in 24 CFR Part 92.

(4) Describe housing rehabilitation standards that will apply to NSP assisted activities.**Response:**

For NSP related rehabilitation efforts, the City of San Diego will utilize SDHC's Rehabilitation Standards. Rehabilitation Standards are used to prioritize work items when determining the final scope of work. Priorities include:

1. First priority is the elimination of Health/Safety hazards and code violations. This may include the following major systems or building components:
 - a. Structural: foundations, footings, chimneys, framing, siding, subfloors, roof diaphragm, retaining walls, etc.
 - b. Plumbing: drain, waste, and vent systems, hot and cold water pipes, drainage systems, plumbing fixtures, bathtubs, sinks, toilets, hot water heaters, etc.
 - c. Mechanical: heating and air conditioning to include any built-in, floor, central, wall gas or electric unit.
 - d. Electrical: safe adequate wiring, service panel/amperage for modern conveniences, service entrance, receptacles and, interior/exterior lighting.
 - e. Interior/Exterior building components: floor coverings, ranges/refrigerators, garbage disposal, kitchen cabinets, counter tops, vanities, drywall, painting, doors, windows, weatherization, smoke alarms, security bars, hazardous building construction materials, concrete work and fencing etc.
 - f. Required Lead-Based Paint remediation.

2. Second priority is the elimination of incipient violations. These work items would include all of the work mentioned above that are not code violations today, but will become violations at some time in the near future (two years or less). Examples include:
 - a. A roof covering that has reached its life expectancy, but shows no sign of leaking.
 - b. Floor covering that is excessively worn and in a reasonably short period of time will no longer be effective for the intended use.
 - c. Kitchen counter tops, appliances, bath vanities or tub surrounds which show pitted or worn surfaces but are not bad enough to classify as a code violation.
 - d. Paint that is aged near its useful life expectancy but remains a washable surface and provides adequate water and weather protection.
3. Third priority is energy and/or water conservation improvements. These conservation improvements or repairs include insulation, water saving toilets or other fixture, energy-efficient appliances, water heaters and xeriscope yard improvements.
4. Fourth priority is general property improvements. General property improvements are those items which cannot be classified in the first three categories but by their inclusion enhance the exterior or interior appearance of the dwelling or occupancy of the inhabitants. For the most part, general property improvements are limited to funding sources that allow them. In all cases the work must be justified. "Luxury" items are not allowed. Some examples of general property improvements are:
 - a. Dishwashers (dishwashers may be a higher priority depending on circumstances i.e., medical needs or replacement of an existing non-functioning unit).
 - b. Upgrading floor covering that may not be a safety or incipient violation.
 - c. Upgrading of obsolete kitchen cabinets that are in good to fair condition.

Individual rehabilitation programs administered by SDHC may forbid the installation or repair of certain items. It is the responsibility of the HCS to know and understand program regulations and make decisions accordingly. In addition, staff should be aware of and insure that corrective items that are in violation of the City of San Diego's building codes/ordinances as well as the Uniform Building Code, National Electric Code, Uniform Plumbing Code and the Uniform Housing Code are corrected.

Termite Repairs – a Termite Inspection Report is required and is prepared for every home by a licensed inspector. The report should identify any termite or dry rot damaged wood members in the home as well as whether or not there are any active

termite infestations in the home. Any termite or dry rot damaged wood members in the home are required to be replaced or repaired due to the health and safety risk they pose to the structural integrity of the home. Fumigation or other treatments recommended to eliminate any active termite infestations are required.

Ineligible Items – There are specific items that may or may not be allowed as eligible work and are determined by need, circumstances and individual program parameters. These items include:

1. Room Additions
2. Patio Covers and Slabs (replacement only unless existing conditions can be documented/justified and authorized by HCO)
3. Landscaping
4. Garages and Carports
5. Window Coverings

The following items are ineligible under most programs offered by SDHC:

1. Luxury materials, appliances, etc.
2. Replacement of items in "like new" condition early in to normal life expectancy
3. Mirrored closet doors
4. Greenhouses
5. Animal kennels/cages/runs
6. Swimming pools
7. Saunas/spas/sunken tubs
8. Outdoor fireplaces/barbecues
9. Trash compactors
10. Tennis courts
11. Wet bars
12. Security systems

Property owners can normally pay the difference in higher costs between what the program will allow and the item(s) of their preference.

D. LOW INCOME TARGETING

Identify the estimated amount of funds appropriated or otherwise made available under the NSP to be used to purchase and redevelop abandoned or foreclosed upon homes or residential properties for housing individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income: \$39,500 for a family of four.

***Note:* At least 25% of funds must be used for housing individuals and families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income.**

Response:

The City of San Diego will make at least \$2,124,533 (25% of the City of San Diego's NSP allocation less 10% administrative funding, as required) available for acquisition/rehabilitation/rental as described above in Section B.

E. ACQUISITIONS & RELOCATION

Indicate whether grantee intends to demolish or convert any low- and moderate-income dwelling units (i.e., = 80% of area median income).

If so, include:

- The number of low- and moderate-income dwelling units—i.e., = 80% of area median income—reasonably expected to be demolished or converted as a direct result of NSP-assisted activities.
- The number of NSP affordable housing units made available to low-, moderate-, and middle-income households—i.e., = 120% of area median income—reasonably expected to be produced by activity and income level as provided for in DRGR, by each NSP activity providing such housing (including a proposed time schedule for commencement and completion).
- The number of dwelling units reasonably expected to be made available for households whose income does not exceed 50 percent of area median income.

Response:

All activities below are anticipated to be initiated upon the release of NSP funds – that is At the outset of the 2009 calendar year. All funds will be initially committed within 18 months; several of these activities anticipate returning funds (e.g. program income) which will continue to be used within the NSP guidelines as long as possible (Currently estimated to be July 2013).

- No conversions or demolitions are anticipated. As indicated in Section B above, a small number of units may be acquired and rehabilitated. Of these, none are anticipated to have had an affordability covenant; however some of these may have been occupied and/or owned by low- and moderate-income households.
- The number of NSP affordable housing units made available to low-, moderate-, and middle-income households reasonably expected to be produced by the various activities are as follows:
 - o Financing mechanisms to first-time homebuyers through a silent second trust deed mortgage and rehabilitation loan: if all available funds are used in this category (total NSP grant less 25% to serve households below 50% AMI, less 10% for administration), and the average purchase price is \$220,000, the average rehabilitation costs are \$30,000, so the average sales price is \$250,000, and an average of \$50,000 of these proceeds remain in the unit as a silent second, then approximately 90 units could be

produced. Changes in average acquisition costs and changes in average rehabilitation costs could dramatically change this number.

o Acquisition/rehabilitation/rental in a lease-to-own plan: this is our program which will utilize the 25% of the NSP funding for low income targeting. After acquisition and rehabilitation by SDHC these units will be made available to Section 8 clients. In conjunction with the use of Section 8 Project Based vouchers, it is expected that the City can produce up to 30 self-sustaining rental homes which may over time result in homeownership opportunities for low income families.

F. PUBLIC COMMENT

Provide a summary of public comments received to the proposed NSP Substantial Amendment.

Response:

The draft NSP Plan (Substantial Amendment) for the City of San Diego will be made available for public comment on the City's website as well as SDHC's website between November 1 through November 15, 2008. The draft Plan will then be forwarded to the City Council for consideration at a public hearing on November 18, 2008 at 2 p.m.

G. NSP INFORMATION BY ACTIVITY (COMPLETE FOR EACH ACTIVITY)**Activity 1****(1) Activity Name:**

Financing Mechanism for the Purchase & Redevelopment of Foreclosed Upon Homes and Residential Properties

(2) Activity Type: (include NSP eligible use & CDBG eligible activity)

NSP – financing mechanism;

CDBG – 24 CFR 570.201(n) direct homeowner assistance (for silent second loan) and 24 CFR 570.202(b)(1) rehabilitation loan.

(3) National Objective: (Must be a national objective benefiting low, moderate and middle income persons, as defined in the NSP Notice—i.e., = 120% of area median income).

Low-income housing (as amended by NSP) – Beneficiaries will be restricted to low-, moderate-and middle-income clientele, as defined by the NSP Notice. All participants will be restricted to the income levels below 120% AMI as promulgated by HUD for this purpose.

(4) Projected Start Date:

Upon release of the funding by HUD.

(5) Projected End Date:

As long as funds are available (additional funds such as program income, may be allocated to this activity depending on the success of the resale portion of the NSP program and changing neighborhood dynamics as areas become more stabilized) and continued financing/rehab of properties continues, these funds will end no later than July 2013.

(6) Responsible Organization: (Describe the responsible organization that will implement the NSP activity, including its name, location, and administrator contact information)

San Diego Housing Commission

1122 Broadway, Ste. 300

San Diego, CA 92101

Administrator: Cissy Fisher, (619) 578-7588, cissyf@sdhc.org

The City of San Diego's Community Investment Department will assist in the oversight of the program.

(7) Location Description: (Description may include specific addresses, blocks or neighborhoods to the extent known.)

Citywide, but staff has identified those neighborhoods south of El Cajon Blvd. and east of State Route 163 as the areas of greatest need and where NSP funds and activities will be given the highest priority.

(8) Activity Description:

Include a narrative describing the area of greatest need that the activity addresses; the expected benefit to income-qualified persons; and whether funds used for this activity will be used to meet the low income housing requirement for those below 50% of area median income.

For housing related activities, include:

- tenure of beneficiaries--rental or homeownership;
- duration or term of assistance;
- a description of how the design of the activity will ensure continued affordability.

For acquisition activities, include:

- discount rate

For financing activities, include:

- range of interest rates

The areas of greatest need will be those located south of El Cajon Blvd. and east of State Route 163. The expected benefit to income-qualified person would be that this funding will represent a one-time opportunity for San Diego to help local families purchase homes that would be unavailable to them without this financial assistance. The City has limited funds for FTHB assistance and cannot not meet the current demand for assistance without this program. Local families will be able to purchase and rehabilitate homes near their jobs in the City, reducing commute time and increasing the time available for family and community activities. These families will also receive in-depth training in managing personal finances.

Finance/range of interest rates: interest rate on silent second loan financing will be zero percent to encourage families to participate in a program with resale restrictions.

(9) Total Budget: (Include public and private components)

The initial budget from NSP funds is \$6,123,600. Private components are not included, presuming worst-case scenario. In a best case scenario, the Redevelopment Agency of San Diego will likely dedicate funding in the Redevelopment Project Areas throughout the City where NSP funding will be utilized. The Redevelopment Agency's funding would go towards green rehabilitation efforts thus allowing more of the NSP funding to go towards the silent second loan product. Note that this is the primary NSP activity, and the budget may be increased by reduction of other activity budgets should circumstances warrant.

(10) Performance Measures (e.g., units of housing to be acquired, rehabilitated, or demolished for the income levels of households that are 50 percent of area median income and below, 51-80 percent, and 81-120 percent):

This activity is providing financing mechanism for the purchase and redevelopment of foreclosed upon homes and residential properties. The performance measurement

001995

outcomes will be measured by the number of first time homebuyer and rehab loans made available to families making at or below 120% AMI. This activity is not expected to assist any households below 50% AMI. Because the success of the program is heavily reliant upon the negotiated price of each home, the initial anticipated number of families between 51-80% to be served by this product ranges between 35-46, and for the AMI ranges of 81-120% AMI, an additional 30-45 families would be served.

Activity 2**(1) Activity Name:**

Redevelop Demolished or Vacant Properties

(2) Activity Type: (include NSP eligible use & CDBG eligible activity)

NSP – acquisition, demolition and redevelopment;

CDBG – 24 CFR 570.201(a) acquisition, (b) disposition, (c) public improvements, and 24 CFR 570.202 rehabilitation and 24 CFR 570.204 CBDO (CHDO).

(3) National Objective: (Must be a national objective benefiting low, moderate and middle income persons, as defined in the NSP Notice—i.e., = 120% of area median income).

Ultimate beneficiaries (after redevelopment of the site) will be restricted to low-, moderate-, and middle-income clientele, as defined by the NSP Notice. All participants will be restricted to the income levels below 120% AMI as promulgated by HUD for this purpose.

(4) Projected Start Date:

Upon release of the funding by HUD.

(5) Projected End Date:

As long as funds are available (additional funds such as program income, may be allocated to this activity depending on the success of the resale portion of the NSP program and changing neighborhood dynamics as areas become more stabilized) and continued redevelopment of demolished or vacant properties continues, these funds will end no later than July 2013.

(6) Responsible Organization: (Describe the responsible organization that will implement the NSP activity, including its name, location, and administrator contact information)

San Diego Housing Commission

1122 Broadway, Ste. 300

San Diego, CA 92101

Administrator: Cissy Fisher, (619) 578-7588, cissyf@sdhc.org

The City of San Diego's Community Investment Department will assist in the oversight of the program.

(7) Location Description: (Description may include specific addresses, blocks or neighborhoods to the extent known.)

Citywide, but staff has identified those neighborhoods south of El Cajon Blvd. and east of State Route 163 as the areas of greatest need and where NSP funds and activities will be given the highest priority.

(8) Activity Description:

Include a narrative describing the area of greatest need that the activity addresses; the expected benefit to income-qualified persons; and whether funds used for this activity will be used to meet the low income housing requirement for those below 50% of area median income.

For housing related activities, include:

- tenure of beneficiaries--rental or homeownership;
- duration or term of assistance;
- a description of how the design of the activity will ensure continued affordability.

For acquisition activities, include:

- discount rate

For financing activities, include:

- range of interest rates

This activity is for acquisition/demolition/redevelopment of foreclosed, abandoned single-family residences that would require more funds to rehabilitate than to demolish and rebuild. After consultation with the City's Development Services Department of Inspections, a determination would be made to demolish the structure instead of attempting to rehabilitate it. The property would then be made available to non-profit housing providers to plan the redevelopment of the property as soon as feasible. Redevelopment may include sale of the property at current fair market value, or below fair market value, or partnership with a non-profit agency. Other possible uses under this activity type might include vacant, foreclosed properties that allow for the expansion or improvement of a public facility.

(9) **Total Budget: (Include public and private components)**

The initial budget from NSP funds is \$200,000. If this amount is not used for this activity, then it will revert back to the financing mechanism activity (Activity #1). It is anticipated that any units acquired under this activity will be purchased at a very deep discount due to the condition of the unit. Should outside parties choose to participate, the budget may expand as circumstances warrant. Note that this is not the primary NSP activity, and the budget will be determined based upon the status of neighborhoods in the NSP priority areas as described above.

(10) **Performance Measures (e.g., units of housing to be acquired, rehabilitated, or demolished for the income levels of households that are 50 percent of area median income and below, 51-80 percent, and 81-120 percent):**

It is initially anticipated that 2-5 affordable units may be ultimately made available to families earning up to 120% AMI through this activity after the redevelopment of the sites. No projection has been made of the income-level components to be served.

Activity 3**(1) Activity Name:**

Acquisition/Rehabilitation & Rental for Low Income Tenants

(2) Activity Type: (include NSP eligible use & CDBG eligible activity)

NSP – acquisition/rehabilitation/rental;

CDBG – 24 CFR 570.201(a) acquisition, and 24 CFR 501.202, rehabilitation.

(3) National Objective: (Must be a national objective benefiting low, moderate and middle income persons, as defined in the NSP Notice—i.e., = 120% of area median income).

Beneficiaries will be low-income clientele, as defined by the NSP Notice. All participants will be restricted to the income levels below 50% as promulgated by HUD for this purpose.

(4) Projected Start Date:

Upon release of the funding by HUD.

(5) Projected End Date:

As long as funds are available (additional funds such as program income, may be allocated to this activity depending on the success of the acquisition/rehabilitation and rental portions of the NSP program and changing neighborhood dynamics as areas become more stabilized) and continued acquisition/rehabilitation/rental of properties continues, these funds will end no later than July 2013.

(6) Responsible Organization: (Describe the responsible organization that will implement the NSP activity, including its name, location, and administrator contact information)

San Diego Housing Commission

1122 Broadway, Ste. 300

San Diego, CA 92101

Administrator: Steve Snyder, (619) 578-7545, steves@sdhc.org

The City of San Diego's Community Investment Department will assist in the oversight of the program.

(7) Location Description: (Description may include specific addresses, blocks or neighborhoods to the extent known.)

Citywide, but staff has identified those neighborhoods south of El Cajon Blvd. and east of State Route 163 as the areas of greatest need and where NSP funds and activities will be given the highest priority.

(8) Activity Description:

Include a narrative describing the area of greatest need that the activity addresses; the expected benefit to income-qualified persons; and whether funds used for this

activity will be used to meet the low income housing requirement for those below 50% of area median income.

For housing related activities, include:

- tenure of beneficiaries--rental or homeownership;
- duration or term of assistance;
- a description of how the design of the activity will ensure continued affordability.

For acquisition activities, include:

- discount rate

For financing activities, include:

- range of interest rates

This activity is for the acquisition/rehabilitation/rental of foreclosed upon properties and will be reserved strictly for household earning less than 50% AMI. The San Diego Housing Commission or a community-based, non-profit organization will purchase single family residences and the San Diego Housing Commission will issue each tenant a Project-Based Section 8 voucher. The unit will remain in the Housing Commission's or community-based, non-profit's portfolio until the family can purchase the unit or it is offered to another eligible family. This will in turn allow each family to enter into the long term possibility of homeownership. The family will always pay no more than 30% of their income pursuant to HUD Section 8 regulations. By leveraging the increased rental revenue generated by the voucher, the Housing Commission will be able to seek out additional leveraging through conventional loan mechanisms and expand the program.

(9) Total Budget: (Include public and private components)

The initial budget from NSP funds is \$2,124,533. Private components are not included, presuming worst-case scenario. Presuming the best-case scenario would assume a leveraging component for the expansion of the product. This activity, depending on interest rates being offered by the lending institutions at the time, may result in the leveraging of the NSP funds at a 4:1 ratio. Note that this is the primary NSP activity for the low income set aside portion of the funding, and the budget may be increased by reduction of other activity budgets should circumstances warrant.

(10) Performance Measures (e.g., units of housing to be acquired, rehabilitated, or demolished for the income levels of households that are 50 percent of area median income and below, 51-80 percent, and 81-120 percent):

This activity is providing housing opportunities through the acquisition/rehabilitation/rental of foreclosed upon homes and residential properties. The performance measurement outcomes will be measured by the number of rental units provided to families making at or below 50% AMI. This activity is not expected to assist any households over 50% AMI. The initial anticipated number of families to be served by this product is 30.

Activity 4**(1) Activity Name:**

Homebuyer Education Counseling

(2) Activity Type: (include NSP eligible use & CDBG eligible activity)

NSP – purchase and rehabilitation of homes and residential properties that have been abandoned or foreclosed upon; redevelop demolished or vacant properties;

CDBG – 24 CFR 570.201(e), housing counseling public services.

(3) National Objective: (Must be a national objective benefiting low, moderate and middle income persons, as defined in the NSP Notice—i.e., = 120% of area median income).

Low-income housing (as amended by NSP) – Beneficiaries will be restricted to low-, moderate-and middle-income clientele, as defined by the NSP Notice. All participants will be restricted to the income levels below 120% AMI as promulgated by HUD for this purpose.

(4) Projected Start Date:

Upon release of the funding by HUD.

(5) Projected End Date:

As long as funds are available (additional funds such as program income, may be allocated to this activity depending on the success of the financing mechanism portion of the NSP program and changing neighborhood dynamics as areas become more stabilized) and continued financing/rehab of properties continues, these funds will end no later than July 2013.

(6) Responsible Organization: (Describe the responsible organization that will implement the NSP activity, including its name, location, and administrator contact information)

San Diego Housing Commission

1122 Broadway, Ste. 300

San Diego, CA 92101

Administrator: Cissy Fisher, (619) 578-7588, cissyf@sdhc.org

The City of San Diego's Community Investment Department will assist in the oversight of the program.

(7) Location Description: (Description may include specific addresses, blocks or neighborhoods to the extent known.)

Citywide, but staff has identified those neighborhoods south of El Cajon Blvd. and east of State Route 163 as the areas of greatest need and where NSP funds and activities will be given the highest priority.

(8) Activity Description:

002001

Include a narrative describing the area of greatest need that the activity addresses; the expected benefit to income-qualified persons; and whether funds used for this activity will be used to meet the low income housing requirement for those below 50% of area median income.

For housing related activities, include:

- tenure of beneficiaries--rental or homeownership;
- duration or term of assistance;
- a description of how the design of the activity will ensure continued affordability.

For acquisition activities, include:

- discount rate

For financing activities, include:

- range of interest rates

As prescribed by HUD and HERA, each eligible family (making not more than 120% AMI) purchasing a foreclosed upon property must complete a mandatory eight-hour homebuyer financial education course. These funds will go to local counseling agencies to strictly provide these types of preparatory counseling services. Each of the recipients of the financing mechanism provided through the Activity #1 will benefit from these funds.

(9) Total Budget: (Include public and private components)

The initial budget from NSP funds is \$50,000. Private components are not included, presuming worst-case scenario. The San Diego Housing Commission will commit an additional \$50,000 towards this effort to supplement the NSP funding.

(10) Performance Measures (e.g., units of housing to be acquired, rehabilitated, or demolished for the income levels of households that are 50 percent of area median income and below, 51-80 percent, and 81-120 percent):

This activity is providing a service for every family receiving the financing mechanism funding described in Activity #1. The performance measurement outcomes will be measured by the number of first time homebuyers that successfully complete the mandatory 8-hour education program. This activity is not expected to assist any households below 50% AMI nor any families between 51-80% AMI. The initial anticipated number of families between 81-120% AMI to be served by this product ranges between 75-163.

Activity 5**(1) Activity Name:**

NSP Administration.

(2) Activity Type: (include NSP eligible use & CDBG eligible activity)

NSP – grant administration HERA Section 2301(e)(2);

CDBG – grant administration 24 CFR 570.489(a)(i) is superseded by HERA.

(3) National Objective: (Must be a national objective benefiting low, moderate and middle income persons, as defined in the NSP Notice—i.e., = 120% of area median income).

Not required.

(4) Projected Start Date:

Upon release of the funding by HUD.

(5) Projected End Date:

Continued grant administration through July 2013.

(6) Responsible Organization: (Describe the responsible organization that will implement the NSP activity, including its name, location, and administrator contact information)

San Diego Housing Commission

1122 Broadway, Ste. 300

San Diego, CA 92101

Administrator: Cissy Fisher, (619) 578-7588, cissyf@sdhc.org

Steve Snyder, (619) 578-7545, steves@sdhc.org

The City of San Diego's Community Investment Department will assist in the oversight of the program.

(7) Location Description: (Description may include specific addresses, blocks or neighborhoods to the extent known.)

Citywide.

(8) Activity Description:

Include a narrative describing the area of greatest need that the activity addresses; the expected benefit to income-qualified persons; and whether funds used for this activity will be used to meet the low income housing requirement for those below 50% of area median income.

For housing related activities, include:

- tenure of beneficiaries--rental or homeownership;
- duration or term of assistance;

- a description of how the design of the activity will ensure continued affordability.

For acquisition activities, include:

- discount rate

For financing activities, include:

- range of interest rates

This activity is grant administration which includes, but is not limited to, the following activities:

- Compliance monitoring (NSP requirement, Labor Standards, Section 3, procurement, conflict of interest, EEO, URA, Affirmative Marketing, etc.)
- Environmental review for compliance with the National Environmental policies Act (NEPA)
- Contracting
- Procurement (including letting of appropriate Requests for Proposals, Notices of Funding Opportunities, etc.)
- Financial data collection and reporting
- Quarterly reporting
- Data entry and reporting through DRGR
- Providing technical assistance to activity sponsors
- Ensuring public participation

(9) **Total Budget:** (Include public and private components)

NSP allows for 10% of the grant allocation (\$944,237) and 10% of program income (unknown at this time) to be used for grant administration.

(10) **Performance Measures** (e.g., units of housing to be acquired, rehabilitated, or demolished for the income levels of households that are 50 percent of area median income and below, 51-80 percent, and 81-120 percent):

All activities will benefit LMMI households and no monitoring findings issued by HUD as the result of program monitoring.

CERTIFICATIONS

- (1) **Affirmatively further fair housing.** The jurisdiction will affirmatively further fair housing, which means that it will conduct an analysis to identify impediments to fair housing choice within the jurisdiction, take appropriate actions to overcome the effects of any impediments identified through that analysis, and maintain records reflecting the analysis and actions in this regard.
- (2) **Anti-lobbying.** The jurisdiction will comply with restrictions on lobbying required by 24 CFR part 87, together with disclosure forms, if required by that part.
- (3) **Authority of Jurisdiction.** The jurisdiction possesses the legal authority to carry out the programs for which it is seeking funding, in accordance with applicable HUD regulations and other program requirements.
- (4) **Consistency with Plan.** The housing activities to be undertaken with NSP funds are consistent with its consolidated plan, which means that NSP funds will be used to meet the congressionally identified needs of abandoned and foreclosed homes in the targeted area set forth in the grantee's substantial amendment.
- (5) **Acquisition and relocation.** The jurisdiction will comply with the acquisition and relocation requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4601), and implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 24, except as those provisions are modified by the Notice for the NSP program published by HUD.
- (6) **Section 3.** The jurisdiction will comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u), and implementing regulations at 24 CFR part 135.
- (7) **Citizen Participation.** The jurisdiction is in full compliance and following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of Sections 24 CFR 91.105 or 91.115, as modified by NSP requirements.
- (8) **Following Plan.** The jurisdiction is following a current consolidated plan (or Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy) that has been approved by HUD.
- (9) **Use of funds in 18 months.** The jurisdiction will comply with Title III of Division B of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 by using, as defined in the NSP Notice, all of its grant funds within 18 months of receipt of the grant.
- (10) **Use NSP funds = 120 of AMI.** The jurisdiction will comply with the requirement that all of the NSP funds made available to it will be used with respect to individuals and families whose incomes do not exceed 120 percent of area median income.


(11) **Assessments.** The jurisdiction will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds, including Section 108 loan guaranteed funds, by assessing any amount against properties owned and occupied by persons of low- and moderate-income, including any fee charged or assessment made as a condition of obtaining access to such public improvements. However, if NSP funds are used to pay the proportion of a fee or assessment attributable to the capital costs of public improvements (assisted in part with NSP funds) financed from other revenue sources, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds. In addition, with respect to properties owned and occupied by moderate-income (but not low-income) families, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than NSP funds if the jurisdiction certifies that it lacks NSP or CDBG funds to cover the assessment.

(12) **Excessive Force.** The jurisdiction certifies that it has adopted and is enforcing: (1) a policy prohibiting the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its jurisdiction against any individuals engaged in non-violent civil rights demonstrations; and (2) a policy of enforcing applicable State and local laws against physically barring entrance to or exit from, a facility or location that is the subject of such non-violent civil rights demonstrations within its jurisdiction.

(13) **Compliance with anti-discrimination laws.** The NSP grant will be conducted and administered in conformity with title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d), the Fair Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 3601-3619), and implementing regulations.

(14) **Compliance with lead-based paint procedures.** The activities concerning lead-based paint will comply with the requirements of part 35, subparts A, B, J, K, and R of this title.

(15) **Compliance with laws.** The jurisdiction will comply with applicable laws.



William R. Anderson
Deputy Chief Operating Officer
Land Use & Economic Development

11-4-08
Date

NSP Substantial Amendment Checklist

For the purposes of expediting review, HUD asks that applicants submit the following checklist along with the NSP Substantial Amendment and SF-424.

Contents of an NSP Action Plan Substantial Amendment

| | |
|---|---|
| Jurisdiction(s): City of San Diego | NSP Contact Person: Angela Nazareno |
| Jurisdiction Web Address: www.sandiego.gov | Address: 1200 Third Avenue, 14th Floor San Diego, CA 92101 |
| | Telephone: 619-236-6944 |
| | Fax: 619-533-3219 |
| | Email: ANazareno@sandiego.gov |

The elements in the substantial amendment required for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program are:

A. AREAS OF GREATEST NEED

Does the submission include summary needs data identifying the geographic areas of greatest need in the grantee's jurisdiction?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on page 1.

B. DISTRIBUTION AND USES OF FUNDS

Does the submission contain a narrative describing how the distribution and uses of the grantee's NSP funds will meet the requirements of Section 2301(c)(2) of HERA that funds be distributed to the areas of greatest need, including those with the greatest percentage of home foreclosures, with the highest percentage of homes financed by a subprime mortgage related loan, and identified by the grantee as likely to face a significant rise in the rate of home foreclosures?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on page 3.

Note: The grantee's narrative must address the three stipulated need categories in the NSP statute, but the grantee may also consider other need categories.

C. DEFINITIONS AND DESCRIPTIONS

For the purposes of the NSP, do the narratives include:

- a definition of "blighted structure" in the context of state or local law,
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 7 and 40.
- a definition of "affordable rents,"
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 8 and 43.
- a description of how the grantee will ensure continued affordability for NSP assisted housing,

Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on page 8.

- a description of housing rehabilitation standards that will apply to NSP assisted activities?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on page 8.

D. INFORMATION BY ACTIVITY

Does the submission contain information by activity describing how the grantee will use the funds, identifying:

- eligible use of funds under NSP,
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 13, 16, 18, 20 and 22.
- correlated eligible activity under CDBG,
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 13, 16, 18, 20 and 22.
- the areas of greatest need addressed by the activity or activities,
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 13, 16, 18, 20 and 22.
- expected benefit to income-qualified persons or households or areas,
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 14, 17, 19, 21 and 23.
- appropriate performance measures for the activity,
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 14, 17, 19, 21 and 23.
- amount of funds budgeted for the activity,
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 14, 17, 19, 21 and 23.
- the name, location and contact information for the entity that will carry out the activity,
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 13, 16, 18, 20 and 22.
- expected start and end dates of the activity?
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 13, 16, 18, 20 and 22.

E. SPECIFIC ACTIVITY REQUIREMENTS

Does each activity narrative describe the general terms under which assistance will be provided, including:

If the activity includes acquisition of real property,

- the discount required for acquisition of foreclosed upon properties,
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on page 4.

If the activity provides financing,

- the range of interest rates (if any),
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 4 and 5.

If the activity provides housing,

- duration or term of assistance,
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on page pages 13, 16, 18, 20 and 22.
- tenure of beneficiaries (e.g., rental or homeownership),
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 13, 16, 18 and 20.
- does it ensure continued affordability?
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 4 - 7.
- does the applicant indicate which activities will count toward the statutory requirement that at least 25% of funds must be used to purchase and redevelop abandoned or foreclosed upon homes or residential properties for housing individuals and families whose incomes do not exceed 50% of area median income?
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on page 18.

F. LOW INCOME TARGETING

- Has the grantee described how it will meet the statutory requirement that at least 25% of funds must be used to purchase and redevelop abandoned or foreclosed upon homes or residential properties for housing individuals and families whose incomes do not exceed 50% of area median income?
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 5 and 18.
- Has the grantee identified how the estimated amount of funds appropriated or otherwise made available will be used to purchase and redevelop abandoned or foreclosed upon homes or residential properties for housing individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed 50% of area median income?
Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on pages 5 and 18.
Amount budgeted = \$2,124,533.

G. DEMOLISHMENT OR CONVERSION OF LOW- AND MODERATE-INCOME UNITS

Does grantee plan to demolish or convert any low- and moderate-income dwelling units?

Yes ☐ No ☒ (If no, continue to next heading)
Verification found on page N/A.

Does the substantial amendment include:

- The number of low- and moderate-income dwelling units—i.e., = 80% of area median income—reasonably expected to be demolished or converted as a direct result of NSP-assisted activities?
Yes ☐ No ☒ Verification found on page N/A.
- The number of NSP affordable housing units made available to low-, moderate-, and middle-income households—i.e., = 120% of area median income—reasonably expected to be produced by activity and income level as provided for

in DRGR, by each NSP activity providing such housing (including a proposed time schedule for commencement and completion)?

Yes ☐ No ☒ Verification found on page N/A.

- The number of dwelling units reasonably expected to be made available for households whose income does not exceed 50 percent of area median income?

Yes ☐ No ☒ Verification found on page N/A.

H. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Was the proposed action plan amendment published via the grantee jurisdiction's usual methods and on the Internet for no less than 15 calendar days of public comment?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on page 12.

Is there a summary of citizen comments included in the final amendment?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Verification found on page 44.

I. WEBSITE PUBLICATION

The following Documents are available on the grantee's website:

- SF 424 Yes ☒ No ☐.
- Proposed NSP Substantial Amendment Yes ☒ No ☐.
- Final NSP Substantial Amendment Yes ☒ No ☐.
- Subsequent NSP Amendments Yes ☒ No ☐.

Website URL: <http://www.sandiego.gov>

K. CERTIFICATIONS

The following certifications are complete and accurate:

- | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| (1) Affirmatively furthering fair housing | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (2) Anti-lobbying | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (3) Authority of Jurisdiction | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (4) Consistency with Plan | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (5) Acquisition and relocation | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (6) Section 3 | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (7) Citizen Participation | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (8) Following Plan | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (9) Use of funds in 18 months | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (10) Use NSP funds = 120 of AMI | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (11) No recovery of capital costs thru special assessments | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (12) Excessive Force | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (13) Compliance with anti-discrimination laws | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (14) Compliance with lead-based paint procedures | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (15) Compliance with laws | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |

EXHIBIT A1
All San Diego Eligible Census Tracts





Legend

Year


2008

Variable

enum

-  Insufficient Data
-  Eligible
-  Partially Eligible
-  Not Eligible

California > San Diego County > San Diego > San Diego



This is a detailed black and white topographic map of San Diego, California. The map shows the city's layout, including major roads, neighborhoods, and geographical features. Key areas labeled include University Heights, Normal Heights, East San Diego, North Park, South Park, Logan Heights, Encanto, and Paradise Hills. The San Diego River is shown flowing through the city, and San Diego Bay is visible to the west. The San Diego International Airport is located in the southwest. The map is overlaid with a grid of latitude and longitude lines, and a scale bar is visible in the bottom left corner. The map is titled "San Diego, California" in the top left corner.

EXHIBIT A4 18 Month Foreclosure Problem Forecast







Legend

Year

2008

Variable

rate

-  Insufficient Data
-  2.55 or less
-  2.56 - 4.04
-  4.05 - 5.65
-  5.66 - 7.84
-  7.85 or more

California > San Diego County > San Diego > San Diego > 92105



EXHIBIT A5
South Bay 18 Month Foreclosure
Problem Forecast







Legend

Year


2008

Variable

rate

-  Insufficient Data
-  2.55 or less
-  2.56 - 4.04
-  4.05 - 5.65
-  5.66 - 7.84
-  7.85 or more

California > San Diego County > San Diego > San Diego > 92173



002016

EXHIBIT A7

South Bay Foreclosure Risk Score







Legend

Year

2008

Variable

score

-  Insufficient Data
-  3 or less
-  4 - 5
-  6 - 7
-  8 - 9
-  10 or more

California > San Diego County > San Diego > San Diego > 92173



EXHIBIT A8
San Diego High Cost Loan Areas

002017







Legend

Year

2008

Variable

percent

-  Insufficient Data
-  13.94% or less
-  13.95% - 21.62%
-  21.63% - 29.56%
-  29.57% - 40.96%
-  40.97% or more

California > San Diego County > San Diego > San Diego > 92105



002618

EXHIBIT A9 South Bay High Cost Loan Areas







Legend

Year


2008

Variable

percent

-  Insufficient Data
-  13.94% or less
-  13.95% - 21.62%
-  21.63% - 29.56%
-  29.57% - 40.96%
-  40.97% or more

California > San Diego County > San Diego > San Diego > 92173



002019

EXHIBIT A10
All REOs in San Diego

EXHIBIT A10 COMING

CALIFORNIA CODES
HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTION 33030-33031

33030.(a) It is found and declared that there exist in many communities blighted areas that constitute physical and economic liabilities, requiring redevelopment in the interest of the health, safety, and general welfare of the people of these communities and of the state.

(b) A blighted area is one that contains both of the following:

- (1) An area that is predominantly urbanized, as that term is defined in Section 33320.1, and is an area in which the combination of conditions set forth in Section 33031 is so prevalent and so substantial that it causes a reduction of, or lack of, proper utilization of the area to such an extent that it constitutes a serious physical and economic burden on the community that cannot reasonably be expected to be reversed or alleviated by private enterprise or governmental action, or both, without redevelopment.
- (2) An area that is characterized by one or more conditions set forth in any paragraph of subdivision (a) of Section 33031 and one or more conditions set forth in any paragraph of subdivision (b) of Section 33031.

(c) A blighted area that contains the conditions described in subdivision (b) may also be characterized by the existence of inadequate public improvements or inadequate water or sewer utilities.

33031. (a) This subdivision describes physical conditions that cause blight:

- (1) Buildings in which it is unsafe or unhealthy for persons to live or work. These conditions may be caused by serious building code violations, serious dilapidation and deterioration caused by long-term neglect, construction that is vulnerable to serious damage from seismic or geologic hazards, and faulty or inadequate water or sewer utilities.
- (2) Conditions that prevent or substantially hinder the viable use or capacity of buildings or lots. These conditions may be caused by buildings of substandard, defective, or obsolete design or construction given the present general plan, zoning, or other development standards.
- (3) Adjacent or nearby incompatible land uses that prevent the development of those parcels or other portions of the project area.
- (4) The existence of subdivided lots that are in multiple ownership and whose physical development has been impaired by their irregular shapes and inadequate sizes, given present general plan and zoning standards and present market conditions.

(b) This subdivision describes economic conditions that cause blight:

- (1) Depreciated or stagnant property values.
- (2) Impaired property values, due in significant part, to hazardous wastes on property where the agency may be eligible to use its authority as specified in Article 12.5 (commencing with Section 33459).

002021

(3) Abnormally high business vacancies, abnormally low lease rates, or an abnormally high number of abandoned buildings.

(4) A serious lack of necessary commercial facilities that are normally found in neighborhoods, including grocery stores, drug stores, and banks and other lending institutions.

(5) Serious residential overcrowding that has resulted in significant public health or safety problems. As used in this paragraph, "overcrowding" means exceeding the standard referenced in Article 5 (commencing with Section 32) of Chapter 1 of Title 25 of the California Code of Regulations.

(6) An excess of bars, liquor stores, or adult-oriented businesses that has resulted in significant public health, safety, or welfare problems.

(7) A high crime rate that constitutes a serious threat to the public safety and welfare.

**City of San Diego
Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP)
Initial Budget**

| | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Activity 1 | \$6,123,600 | NSP-1 Financing Mechanisms |
| Activity 2 | \$ 200,000 | NSP 2 Redevelop Demolished or Vacant Properties |
| Activity 3 | \$2,124,533 | NSP-3 Acquisition/Rehab/Rental (50% AMI) |
| Activity 4 | \$ 50,000 | NSP-4 Homebuyer Education Counseling |
| Activity 5 | <u>\$ 944,237</u> | NSP-5 Administration |
| TOTAL GRANT: | \$9,442,370 | |
| | | |
| Leverage for Activity 1 | \$15,176,640 | NSP-1 Financing Mechanisms |
| Leverage for Activity 2 | \$ 8,498,132 | NSP-2 Acquisition/Rehab/Rental (50% AMI) |
| Leverage for Activity 3 | <u>\$ 50,000</u> | NSP-3 Homebuyer Education Counseling |
| TOTAL LEVERAGE: | \$23,724,772 | |
| | | |
| TOTAL (Grant + Leverage) | \$33,167,142 | |

002023

EXHIBIT D

San Diego MSA Income and Rent Restriction Schedule

| | | Extremely Low Income | | | 35% AMI | | | 40% AMI | | | Very Low Income | | |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | 30% AMI | | | (Adjusted by HUD) | | | (Adjusted by HUD) | | | (Adjusted by HUD) | | |
| Family Size | Unit Size | ANNUAL INCOME ¹ | GROSS RENT ² | TCAC ³ | ANNUAL INCOME ¹ | GROSS RENT ² | TCAC ³ | ANNUAL INCOME ¹ | GROSS RENT ² | TCAC ³ | ANNUAL INCOME ¹ | GROSS RENT ² | TCAC ³ / Low HOME ⁴ |
| ONE | STUDIO | \$ 16,600 | \$415 | | \$ 19,350 | \$484 | \$ 483 | \$ 22,100 | \$553 | \$ 553 | \$ 27,650 | \$691 | \$691 |
| TWO | 1-BR | \$ 18,950 | \$474 | | \$ 22,100 | \$553 | \$ 518 | \$ 25,300 | \$633 | \$ 592 | \$ 31,600 | \$790 | \$740 |
| THREE | 2-BR | \$ 21,350 | \$534 | | \$ 24,900 | \$623 | \$ 622 | \$ 28,450 | \$711 | \$ 711 | \$ 35,550 | \$889 | \$888 |
| FOUR | 3-BR | \$ 23,700 | \$593 | | \$ 27,650 | \$691 | \$ 718 | \$ 31,600 | \$790 | \$ 821 | \$ 39,500 | \$988 | \$1,026 |
| FIVE | 4-BR | \$ 25,600 | \$640 | | \$ 29,850 | \$746 | \$ 801 | \$ 34,150 | \$854 | \$ 916 | \$ 42,650 | \$1,066 | \$1,145 |
| SIX | 5-BR | \$ 27,500 | \$688 | | \$ 32,050 | \$801 | \$ 885 | \$ 36,650 | \$916 | \$ 1,011 | \$ 45,800 | \$1,145 | \$1,264 |
| SEVEN | 6-BR | \$ 29,400 | \$735 | | \$ 34,300 | \$858 | | \$ 39,200 | \$980 | | \$ 49,000 | \$1,225 | \$1,382 |
| EIGHT | | \$ 31,300 | | | \$ 36,500 | | | \$ 41,700 | | | \$ 52,150 | | |

| | | 60% AMI | | | 65% AMI | | | 70% AMI | | Low Income | | 80% AMI | |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | (Adjusted by HUD) | | | (Adjusted by HUD) | | | (Adjusted by HUD) | | (Adjusted by HUD) | | (Adjusted by HUD) | |
| Family Size | Unit Size | ANNUAL INCOME ¹ | GROSS RENT ² | TCAC ³ | ANNUAL INCOME ¹ | GROSS RENT ² | High HOME ⁴ | ANNUAL INCOME ¹ | GROSS RENT ² | ANNUAL INCOME ¹ | GROSS RENT ² | ANNUAL INCOME ¹ | GROSS RENT ² |
| ONE | STUDIO | \$ 33,180 | \$830 | \$829 | \$35,950 | \$899 | \$879 | \$38,700 | \$968 | \$44,250 | \$1,106 | \$44,250 | \$1,106 |
| TWO | 1-BR | \$ 37,920 | \$948 | \$888 | \$41,100 | \$1,028 | \$943 | \$44,250 | \$1,106 | \$50,550 | \$1,264 | \$50,550 | \$1,264 |
| THREE | 2-BR | \$ 42,660 | \$1,067 | \$1,066 | \$46,200 | \$1,155 | \$1,133 | \$49,750 | \$1,244 | \$56,900 | \$1,423 | \$56,900 | \$1,423 |
| FOUR | 3-BR | \$ 47,400 | \$1,185 | \$1,232 | \$51,350 | \$1,284 | \$1,301 | \$55,300 | \$1,383 | \$63,200 | \$1,580 | \$63,200 | \$1,580 |
| FIVE | 4-BR | \$ 51,180 | \$1,280 | \$1,374 | \$55,450 | \$1,386 | \$1,431 | \$59,700 | \$1,493 | \$68,250 | \$1,706 | \$68,250 | \$1,706 |
| SIX | 5-BR | \$ 54,960 | \$1,374 | \$1,517 | \$59,550 | \$1,489 | \$1,561 | \$64,150 | \$1,604 | \$73,300 | \$1,833 | \$73,300 | \$1,833 |
| SEVEN | 6-BR | \$ 58,800 | \$1,470 | | \$63,650 | \$1,591 | \$1,691 | \$68,550 | \$1,714 | \$78,350 | \$1,959 | \$78,350 | \$1,959 |
| EIGHT | | \$ 62,580 | | | \$67,800 | | | \$73,000 | | \$83,400 | | \$83,400 | |

| | | 100% | | 120% AMI | |
|-------------|-----------|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Area Median Income (No HUD adjustment) | | (No HUD adjustment) | |
| Family Size | Unit Size | ANNUAL INCOME ¹ | GROSS RENT ² | ANNUAL INCOME ¹ | GROSS RENT ² |
| ONE | STUDIO | \$ 50,450 | \$1,261 | \$ 60,550 | \$1,514 |
| TWO | 1-BR | \$ 57,700 | \$1,443 | \$ 69,200 | \$1,730 |
| THREE | 2-BR | \$ 64,900 | \$1,623 | \$ 77,850 | \$1,946 |
| FOUR | 3-BR | \$ 72,100 | \$1,803 | \$ 86,500 | \$2,163 |
| FIVE | 4-BR | \$ 77,850 | \$1,946 | \$ 93,400 | \$2,335 |
| SIX | 5-BR | \$ 83,650 | \$2,091 | \$ 100,350 | \$2,509 |
| SEVEN | 6-BR | \$ 89,400 | \$2,235 | \$ 107,250 | \$2,681 |
| EIGHT | | \$ 95,150 | | \$ 114,200 | |

002024

EXHIBIT E

SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS

1.

002625DOCKET SUPPORTING INFORMATION
CITY OF SAN DIEGODATE: 332
November 5, 2008 11/18**EQUAL OPPORTUNITY CONTRACTING PROGRAM EVALUATION**

SUBJECT: Neighborhood Stabilization Program – Plan Adoption for Submittal to HUD

GENERAL CONTRACT INFORMATIONRecommended Consultant: Not Applicable
Amount of this Action: Not Applicable**SUBCONSULTANT PARTICIPATION**

There is no subconsultant activity associated with this action.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMPLIANCE

Equal Opportunity Required:

The City's Equal Opportunity Contracting and Non-discrimination in Contracting Ordinance does not apply to this action per San Diego Municipal Code section 22.2703(b)(excepting contracts with other public agencies from the requirements of Division 27).

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

This action is to accept and expend Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) entitlement funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as a grantee to acquire, rehabilitate and re-sale foreclosed or vacated/abandoned residential properties.


by MM-J

REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

1. CERTIFICATE NUMBER
(FOR AUDITOR'S USE ONLY)

N/A

332

11/18

TO: CITY ATTORNEY

2. FROM (ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT):

CITY PLANNING & COMMUNITY INVESTMENT

3. DATE:
11/04/2008

4. SUBJECT: **002027**

NEIGHBORHOOD STABILIZATION PROGRAM - PLAN ADOPTION FOR SUBMITTAL TO HUD

5. PRIMARY CONTACT (NAME, PHONE, & MAIL STA.):

Scott Kessler MS 56D, 236-6421

6. SECONDARY CONTACT (NAME, PHONE, & MAIL STA.):

Angela Nazareno MS 56D, 236-6944

7. CHECK BOX IF REPORT TO COUNCIL IS ATTACHED

8. COMPLETE FOR ACCOUNTING PURPOSES

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| FUND | | | | | | 9. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION / ESTIMATED COST: |
| DEPT. | | | | | | Fiscal Impact: There is a net zero impact to the General Fund from this action. Funds are available from the City of San Diego's Community Development Block Grant Entitlement - Fiscal Year 2009, Program Year 34. |
| ORGANIZATION | | | | | | |
| OBJECT ACCOUNT | | | | | | |
| JOB ORDER | | | | | | |
| C.I.P. NUMBER | | | | | | |
| AMOUNT | | | | | | |

10. ROUTING AND APPROVALS

| ROUTE (#) | APPROVING AUTHORITY | APPROVAL SIGNATURE | DATE SIGNED | ROUTE (#) | APPROVING AUTHORITY | APPROVAL SIGNATURE | DATE SIGNED |
|-----------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | DEPUTY DIRECTOR | SCOTT KESSLER | 11-4-08 | 8 | DEPUTY CHIEF | WILLIAM ANDERSON | 11-4-08 |
| 2 | CDBG | ANGELA NAZARENO | 11-4-08 | 9 | COO | JAY GOLDSTONE | 11/5/08 |
| 3 | AUDITOR | FAYE HOWARD-HALL | 11/5/08 | 10 | CITY ATTORNEY | Paul Prathney | 11/6/08 |
| 4 | CFO | Mary Lewis | 11-4-08 | 11 | ORIG. DEPT | SCOTT KESSLER | 11-4-08 |
| 5 | ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW | MARTHA BLAKE | 11/5/08 | | DOCKET COORD: | | COUNCIL LIAISON |
| 6 | EOCP | BERYL RAYFORD | 11/5/08 | | COUNCIL PRESIDENT | <input type="checkbox"/> SPOB | <input type="checkbox"/> CONSENT |
| 7 | FMgmt | JUDITH ANIZAL | 11/4/08 | | | <input type="checkbox"/> ADOPTION | |
| | | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> REFER TO: | COUNCIL DATE: 11/18/08 |

11. PREPARATION OF:

☒ RESOLUTIONS

☐ ORDINANCE(S)

☐ AGREEMENT(S)

☐ DEED(S)

- Accept the NSP entitlement funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as a grantee, in the amount of \$9,442,370 for the purpose of acquiring, rehabilitating, and the re-sale of foreclosed or vacated/abandoned residential properties within a targeted 'greatest needs' area(s) in the City of San Diego;
- Authorize the City Comptroller to appropriate and expend the NSP funds in the amount of \$9,442,370 from HUD; contingent upon certification of funds availability by the City Comptroller;
- Adopt the NSP Plan and resolution in order for the Plan's submittal to HUD by the December 1, 2008 deadline;
- Authorize the Mayor or his designee to submit the Plan to HUD with any required affiliated documents;

11A. STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Adopt the plan.

12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (REFER TO A.R. 3.20 FOR INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THIS SECTION.)

COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): ALL

COMMUNITY AREA(S): 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 & CITYWIDE

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: THIS ACTIVITY IS NOT A "PROJECT" AND IS THEREFORE NOT SUBJECT TO CEQA PURSUANT TO STATE CEQA GUIDELINES SECTION 15060(C)(3).

HOUSING IMPACT: NONE

OTHER ISSUES: NONE

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY SHEET
CITY OF SAN DIEGO**DATE ISSUED:****ATTENTION:**

Council President and City Council

ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT: City Planning & Community Investment**SUBJECT:** Neighborhood Stabilization Program Plan**COUNCIL DISTRICT(S):** Council Districts and Citywide**CONTACT/PHONE NUMBER:** Scott Kessler (619) 236-6421**REQUESTED ACTIONS:****I. Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) Plan:**

1. Accept the NSP entitlement funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as a grantee, in the amount of \$9,442,370 for the purpose of acquiring, rehabilitating, and the re-sale of foreclosed or vacated/abandoned residential properties within a targeted 'greatest needs' area(s) in the City of San Diego;
2. Authorize the City Comptroller to appropriate and expend the NSP funds in the amount of \$9,442,370 from HUD, contingent upon certification of funds availability by the City Comptroller;
3. Adopt the NSP Action Plan and resolution in order for the Plan's submittal to HUD by the December 1, 2008 deadline;
4. Authorize the Mayor or his designee to submit the Plan to HUD with any required affiliated documents;

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Adopt the requested actions.

SUMMARY:

- I. On September 26, 2008, the U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) allocated a total of \$3.92 billion to all states in particularly hard-hit areas trying to respond to the effects of high foreclosures. HUD's new Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) will provide targeted emergency assistance to state and local governments to acquire and redevelop foreclosed properties that might otherwise become sources of abandonment and blight within their communities.

The City of San Diego, as a grantee, is anticipated to receive \$9,442,370 in funding once the City submits a plan to HUD. This plan (Attachment 1) is submitted in the form of a Substantial Amendment to the City's FY09 Action Plan and is due to HUD no later than December 1, 2008. Funds are expected to be available by February 2009, must be fully obligated by June 30, 2010, and expended no later than December 31, 2012. The City of San Diego's allocation was determined based upon the number/percent of foreclosures, subprime mortgages and mortgage defaults and delinquencies. HUD took a data driven approach to this process, relying on numerous data sets from government agencies and private sources.

The funding is provided through HUD's Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program under the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008. The intention of NSP funds is to purchase foreclosed homes at a discount (an aggregate of 15% below current appraised value) and to rehabilitate or redevelop them in order to respond to rising foreclosures and falling home values. Grantees can accomplish the intent through such activities as: acquisition of land and property; demolish or rehabilitate abandoned properties; and/or to offer downpayment and closing cost assistance to low- to moderate-income homebuyers (household incomes not exceed 120% of area median income (AMI)). In addition, HUD states NSP could also be used to create "land banks" ie: assembling, temporarily managing, and disposing of vacant land for the purpose of stabilizing neighborhoods and encouraging re-use or redevelopment of urban property.

Due to the significant time constraints for grantees to utilize the funds (18 months), City staff in conjunction with the Housing Commission, recommends the City's Substantial Amendment for NSP is limited to the following activities: financing mechanism (downpayment and closing cost assistance); acquisition/rehabilitation and rental for households earning up to 50% AMI (required 25% of NSP funds); redevelop demolished or vacated properties; homebuyer education counseling; and program management (10% to cover planning, reporting and staffing the program.

FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS:

There is no net fiscal impact from this action.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL and/or COMMITTEE ACTION:


Land Use and Housing Committee (October 29, 2008) – the San Diego Housing Commission's proposal for the NSP funds was approved by the committee.

COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH EFFORTS:


This public hearing for the NSP Plan was noticed in the San Diego Union Tribune newspaper from October 31, 2008 through November 17, 2008. The information was also posted on the City of San Diego's website (www.sandiego.gov/cdbg).

KEY STAKEHOLDERS AND PROJECTED IMPACTS:

Residents of low and moderate income communities, community-based organizations, community planning groups, and other community development organizations.



Scott Kessler
Deputy Director
Economic Development Division



William Anderson
Deputy Chief Operating Officer
City Planning & Development

RESOLUTION NUMBER R-_____

DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE _____

WHEREAS, On September 26, 2008, the U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) allocated a total of \$3.92 billion to all states and particularly hard-hit areas trying to respond to the effects of high foreclosures; and

WHEREAS, HUD's new Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) will provide targeted emergency assistance to state and local governments to acquire and redevelop foreclosed properties that might otherwise become sources of abandonment and blight within their communities.; and,

WHEREAS, The City of San Diego, as a grantee, is anticipated to receive \$9,442,370 in funding once the City submits a plan to HUD; and,

WHEREAS, The plan is submitted in the form of a Substantial Amendment to the City's FY09 Action Plan and is due to HUD no later than December 1, 2008; and,

WHEREAS, Funds are expected to be available by February 2009, must be fully obligated by June 30, 2010, and expended no later than December 31, 2012; and,

WHEREAS, The funding is provided through HUD's Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program under the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008; and,

WHEREAS, The intention of NSP funds is to purchase foreclosed homes at a discount (an aggregate of 15% below current appraised value) and to rehabilitate or redevelop them in order to respond to rising foreclosures and falling home values; NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, that the City accept the NSP entitlement funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as a grantee, in the amount of \$9,442,370 for the purpose of acquiring, rehabilitating, and the re-sale of foreclosed or vacated/abandoned residential properties within a targeted 'greatest needs' area(s) in The City of San Diego.


BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Comptroller is hereby authorized to appropriate and expend the NSP funds in the amount of \$9,442,370 from HUD, contingent upon certification of funds availability by the Comptroller.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City adopt the NSP Plan and resolution in order for the Plan's submittal to HUD be accomplished by the December 1, 2008 deadline.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Mayor or his designee may submit the Plan to HUD with any required affiliated documents.

APPROVED: MICHAEL J. AGUIRRE, City Attorney

By



Paul F. Prather
Deputy City Attorney

PFP:js
11/07/2008
11/17/2008 Corr.
Or.Dept:Planning
R-2009-626

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was passed by the Council of the City of San Diego, at this meeting of _____.

ELIZABETH S. MALAND
City Clerk

By _____
Deputy City Clerk

Approved: _____
(date)

JERRY SANDERS, Mayor

Vetoed: _____
(date)

JERRY SANDERS, Mayor